Supplimentary Submission : Re: Submission – File 2025-64274-I - Supplementary Evidence: Cross-Platform Comment Suppression

From Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

To hrto.registrar@Ontario.ca , kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com, bnlegal@bn.com

Date Sunday, December 14th, 2025 at 9:35 AM

Note:
The screenshots listed above were taken from The Book of Blood, by Isidor Burakh (linked here)
https://ia801706.us.archive.org/18/items/the-cathedral-of-blood-and-cash/THE%20THIRD%20TESTAMENT%20the%20book%20of%20blood%20by%20%D8%AF%D8%AC%D9%91%D8%A7%D9%84%D8

They were taken in December 2022, while in a ward, after the events
at Kingston Road, which I have detailed in other filings.

Regards,
Brendan Rose
Applicant (self-represented)
File 2025-64274-I
437-432-9165
thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Sunday, December 14th, 2025 at 9:08 AM, BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com> wrote:

> **Submission – File 2025-64274-I - Supplementary Evidence: Cross-Platform Comment Suppression**
> December 14, 2025
> Registrar and parties,
> The applicant submits evidence of cross-platform suppression of comments on the Bitchute profile (https://www.bitchute.com/channel/QEzliaZxR8ku/), demonstrating that comment hiding/deletion is not limited to YouTube/Google but occurs even on platforms marketed as “free speech” alternatives.
> **Disclaimer**: The attached screenshots contain vile, racist, antisemitic, misogynistic, and otherwise offensive content from third-party commenters. This material is submitted solely as evidence of suppression, not endorsement. The applicant rejects and condemns all such statements.
> Archived snapshots show comments visible at the time of capture, while the live profile shows them hidden or deleted. This pattern, combined with documented YouTube and Rumble suppression, indicates coordinated cross-platform interference with the applicant’s expression.
> The simultaneous nature of suppression across unrelated platforms raises a reasonable inference of external coordination, potentially beyond independent corporate action.
> This evidence supports the reprisal claim under s.8 of the Code and the need for a hearing on the merits.
> Attached: Bitchute_Suppression_Screenshots.pdf (archived vs. live comparison)
> Form 23.pdf
> Regards,
> Brendan S. Rose
> Applicant (self-represented)
> **Attachment:** Bitchute_Suppression_Screenshots.pdf + Form 23.pdf

Form 23.pdf